

SEP 12 2006

FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP

745 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10151
Telephone: (212) 588-0800
Facsimile: (212) 588-0500
E-mail: Firm@flhlaw.com

FACSIMILE COVER LETTER

To: Commissioner for Patents
Examiner Emmanuel S. Luk

Firm: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Art Unit 1722

Facsimile: (571) 273-8300

From: William S. Frommer

Date: September 12, 2006

Re: FLH Ref No.: 450100-03654
Serial No: 09/996,518

Number of Pages: 3
(including cover page)

If you do not receive all pages or are unable to read the transmission, please call (212) 588-0800.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

The documents accompanying this transmission contain confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose. The information is private, and is legally protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this facsimile is strictly prohibited.

00379009

PATENT
450100-03654**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE****RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

SEP 12 2006

Applicants : Katsuhiko Doi, et al. Notice of Allowance
Dated: 8/29/06

Serial No. : 09/996,518

For : MOLDING DIE APPARATUS AND MOLDING METHOD

Filed : November 29, 2001

Examiner : Emmanuel S. Luk

Art Unit : 1722

Confirmation No. : 3535

745 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10151
(212) 588-0800**CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE**I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via
facsimile to (571) 273-8300, PTO Central Fax OfficeBarnet Shindlman
(Name of person signing transmittal)
Signature

September 12, 2006

Date of Signature

RESPONSE TO EXAMINER'S STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCEMail Stop: Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450


Sir:

This is in response to the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance, which accompanied the Notice of Allowance mailed August 29, 2006. To the extent the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance states, implies or is construed to mean that the claims are allowable over the prior art of record because the Examiner believes the claims should be

PATENT
450100-03654

interpreted to include one or more features or limitations not recited therein, Applicant's attorney disagrees with such an interpretation. Moreover, it is Applicant's contention that there is no particular limitation in the allowed claims that is more critical than any other. The issuance of the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance should not be construed as a surrender by Applicant of any subject matter. It is the intent of Applicant, by its attorney, to construe the allowed claims so as to cover the invention disclosed in the instant application and all equivalents to which the claimed invention is entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP
Attorneys for ApplicantsBy 
William S. Frommer
Reg. No. 25,506
(212) 588-0800